

40 years of Operation, Nuclear Safety Upgrade and Extension of the Operating Life; Long-Term Operation of the NPP Krško





Peter Jan, GEN-WEC event, March 06, 2024



Contents

- **1.** NEK construction
- 2. Operation with Continous improvements
- **3.** Conclusion-Looking into future





NPP Krško in Brief



Operational performance, high operating and environmental standards. Compliance with the conditions for continued safe, reliable and long-term operation.





- Owners: **GEN-energija 50%, HEP 50%**
- Operator: Krško Nuclear Power Plant
- NSSS Supplier: Westinghouse
- Reactor Type: PWR, 2-loop
- Engineering: Gilbert Architect Engineer
- Construction Permit: **1975**
- First Criticality: **1981**
- Commercial Operation: **1983**
- Operating Life Time: 40+10+10+... years
- No. of Employees: ~659
- Gross Plant Output: ~734 MW

Our Vision & Mission





Core Damage Frequency (CDF)





Contract signed on the 1st of December, 1973, Work at site started in 1975



ARTICLE IV-CONTRACT PRICE

The Contract Price shall comprise the U.S. Dollar portion and the Yugoslav Dinar portion, which prices shall be subject to escalation and adjustment as provided for and defined in the Contract, and which will be for the supply of the Nuclear Power Plant on a Turnkey basis at the Krsko site in accordance with the Contract.

a) Warranty

WESTINGHOUSE warrants that the date of Plant Completion is scheduled for the latest of the following dates:

1. November 28, 1978

2. Fifty-three (53) months after Effective Contract Date in accordance with Article XXXV.

consisting of suppliers and subcontractors from the Republics of Croatia and Slovenia nominated by the OWNER to provide Yugoslav goods and services for the Krsko Plant consisting of:

Rade Koncar Jugoturbina Hidroelektra Djuro Djakovic Elektroprojekt-Zagreb Hidromontaza Litostroj Gradis Metalna I. B. Elektroprojekt



Cca 40% of work and material contributed by domestic industry.



Aggregate price by the original contract:

- Part of price fixed and firm,
- Part of price with pre-defined price escalation.



Cca 40% of work and material contributed by domestic industry.

	NUK K R	LEAI V Š K (usi Usi	ELEKI tana lica	RARNA KRŠKO Ijanju . julija št. 38
DESIGN, MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT SUPPLY AND ERECTION	DETAIL DESIUM	WATERIAL SUPPLY	ERECTION		DESIGN, MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT SUPPLY AND ERECTION
ITEM					ITEM
REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM		1			219 <u>Miscellaneous Buildings and Structures</u>
1.0 Reactor Vessel (shop fabricated) including:	1.5				services) (1)
1.1 Vessel shell (1)	W	W	w	W	1. Gatehouse and guardhouse if separate (in-plant OW 0 0 0
1.2 Vessel head with control drive mechanism	W	W	W	W	2. Office Building (in-plant use only) OW 0 0 0
adapters					3. Garage (in-plant use only) OW 0 0 0
1.3 Control rod drive mechanism adapter plugs	W	W	W	W	4. Warehouse (storage) (in-plant use only) 0W 0 0 0
1.4 Integral support pads abd brackets	W	W	W	W	6. First-Aid Post (in-plant use only) 0W 0 0 0
1.5 Support shoes and shims	W	W	W	W	7. Raw & Potable Water Treatment Station Bldg. 0 0 0 0
1.6 Closure stude nuts and washers	IJ	W	W	U	8. Raw & Potable Water Pump Station Bldg. 0 0 0 0
1.7 Times lost off and					9. Fire water rump Station * W W W W W
1.7 Flange leak-off stud	W	W	W	W	10a School facilities for children of personnel W 0 0 0
1.8 Control rod drive mechanism ventilation shroud	W	W	W	W	10b Housing* (2) W 0 0 0
1.9 Control rod drive mechanism baffle cans	W	W	W	W	
1.10 Closure gaskets	W	W	W	W	220 Equipment and Fixtures (3)
1.11 Vessel lifting beam (loan basis)	W	W	W	W	
1.12 Shipping covers (loan basis)	W	W	W	W	2. Office (incl. those in primary aux, bldg.) 0 0 0 0
1.13 Vessel shipping skid (loan basis)	W	W	W	W	3. Garage 0 0 0 0
1.14 Vessel head shipping skid (loan basis)	W	W	W	W	4. Warehouses 0 0 0 0
tit isset head shipping skid (Ioan Dasis)					6. First-Aid Post



Standards, regulatory requirements clearly defined in contract

B. U.S. DESIGN AND QUALITY LEVEL CODES

- -ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code
 - Section II—Material Specifications
 - Section III—Nuclear Power Plant Components
 - Section VIII—Unfired Pressure Vessels
 - Section IX—Welding Qualifications
 - Section XI--In-Service Inspection of Nuclear Reactor
- -ASME Code for Pumps and Valves for Nuclear Power
- -American National Standard B 31.7, Nuclear Power Piping
- -American National Standard B 31.1, Power Piping
- -American National Standard, Efficiency Testing for Air Cleaning Systems containing devices for removal of particulates
- ---Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers---Nuclear Power Plant Protection Systems IEEE-279 and Criteria for Class IE Electrical Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations IEEE-308
- -Heat Exchange Institute
- ---National Electrical Manufacturers Association
- ---Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
- -Insulated Power Cable Engineers Association
- -Illuminating Engineering Society

NUKLEARNA ELEKTRARNA KRŠKO v ustanavljanju K R Š K O, Ulica 4. julija št. 38

Reflection in USAR.

Commitment to U.S. standards

NEK construction (1975-1981 – from ground breaking to first criticality - in 6 (six years)





















NEK construction (1975-1981 – from groundbreaking to first criticality - <u>6 (six years)</u>



70's CIRCUMSTANCES and FACTS

- 1. NO PCs,
- NO internet→different meaning of communication,
- 3. NO 3D models.

AND

- 1. Clear responsibilities,
- 2. Books, tabels,
- 3. Calculator.

TRIBAL KNOWLEDGE of U.S. Nuclear Industry

Resonable people come to resonable solutions!

Are we able to repeat such achievement?

Operation with Continuus improvements Begining of Design changes (modifications)



Post TMI modifications NUREG-0737



100 80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	€ DYNAMIC RANGE RY	90 % Luyer
MARGIN TRAIN A	LVL IND TRAIN A	LVL IND TRAIN A
- 100	120	120
100	120 96	90
100 80	128 119 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 100 100	90 %
100 100 80 100 60 100 60	120 120 202240-2022 202240-2020	90 %
100 100 80 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	29 99 0-711-40 Тыпа 8 0-711-40 Тыпа 1011-101	90 % July44J 30
00 60 00 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A So Same	120 120 90 8 90 8 90 8 90 8 90 8 90 8 90 8 90
00 100 80 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	CP-ZET-40 INED	120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120





script Completed: November 1980 Published: November 1980

(Sel)

vision of Licensing fice of Nuclear Reactor Regulation S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ashington, D.C. 20555



NUBEG-0737

1996 – 2000 Plant Modernization and power increase for 6.3%

Steam Generators Replacement

Power increase based on complete Safety analyses rerun – new Chapter 15 and part of Chapter 4

Full-scope Simulator





After 2000 – investments To increase Safety and Availability

\bigcirc

Major Investment Projects Performed

To improve Nuclear Safety

- Full-scope Simulator
- 125 V DC Supply (batteries)
- PRZR PORVs Bypass
- Radiation Monitoring System
- Emergency AC Power System (DG 3)
- Flood Safety





- Passive Autocatalytic Recombiners (PAR)
- Passive Containment Filtering Ventilation System
- TD Auxiliary Feedwater Pump
- Emergency Control Room
- RCP high temperature seals
- BB2– Alternative SI PMP and Alternative AF PMP with bunkered water sources



Major Investment Projects Performed

To increase Availability

- Condenser
- Process Computer
- Steam Generators
- Low-pressure Turbine Rotors
- Spent Fuel Pit Re-racking
- Cooling Tower Extension
- Reactor Coolant Pump Motors
- Secondary Heaters
- In-core Instrumentation



- Moisture Separator Reheaters
- Generator Stator & Rotor & Exciter
- Reactor Head
- Main Transformers
- Switchyard
- Main transformer 500 MVA
- Renewal of 400 kV switchyard
- High-pressure Turbine









Continous Safety Improvements-Response to new Challenges Aircraft Crash Countermeasures Coping with Beyond Design Basis Condition



NEK developed concept based on **U.S. B.5.b** requirements.

NEK applied similar solutions as first response on Fukushima Daiichi accident.

The concept of usage mobile equipment was recognized as very useful for **natural disasters-**Mobile equipment (FLEX).











Before Safety Upgrade Program Concept





Safety Upgrade Program Concept

Extended Design Basis





***PSA** – Probabilistic Safety Assessment

Safety Upgrade program to meet new regulatory requirements Overview – without SFDS



Major technical precondition for Safety Upgrade

NEK Original Design Margins of original plant systems



NEK PLANT SAFETY UPGRADE PROJECT SCOPE - NEW DESIGN EXTENSION CONDITION (DEC)

AP1000 vs **WENRA requirements?**

(severe natural disasters-combinations of events and BDBA, DEC-B conditions)

Safety Upgrade Program















Implementation of the Safety Upgrade Program



Reducing the Risk of Core Damage Frequency (CDF)



Safety Upgrade Program – construction of Spent Fuel Dry Storage











Krsko NPP SI line leakage Leak on SI line – forced outage

Leakage on SI-53 line at NPP Krsko Leak location – pipeline SI-53







Lessons Learned after SI-53 repair

What went good/excellent?

GOOD PRACTICES/PERFORMANCES/CIRCUMSTANCES





- **1. Prompt WEC response** on NEK request for Emergency call (around 25 competent participants from WEC, WEB, WER).
- 2. WEC comprehensive mobilization for on-site activities (arround 100 persons) although the high outage season in USA.
- **3. Numip imediate walkdowns** for exact data to built mock-up as fast as possible and as acurrate as possible.
- 4. Advantages of **local contractors** and support companies (Numip, Qtechna, Elmont, Kovis,...). Availability and knowledge about the plant configuration and processes. **Understanding plant expectations** regarding field activities.
- 5. Commitment and mission of persons involved to resolve the issue and replace pipings.
- 6. Centralized project management for NEK Team, team physically together, leaded from one place, SPOC for al interferences, requirements, alignments, needs, decisions.
- 7. NEK coordination 24/7, including workshop and hot shop.
- 8. Industrial safety: permanent fire watch and rescue team for all 3 work places in Reactor Building.

PLEX - NEK Plant Life Extension from 40-60Y; DONE (January 2023), Next challenge PLEX 60-80 years



NPP Krsko Life extension as Strategic Bridge for Nuclear Power in Slovenia?

- 1. Feasibility Study
- 2. Life extension preparation cca 15 years.



ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE EPRI experiances with U.S. Plants



Life Extension as Strategic Bridge for Nuclear Power

Life extended from 60-80 years:

Peach Bottom 2 & 3, Turkey Point 3 & 4, Surry 1 & 2 **In progress:** North Anna 1 & 2, Point Beach, V.C: Summer and Robinson.

EXCERCISE: Are we able to repeat such achievement?



Being proud on sucess!



Being overselfconfident-ignoring today's circumstances, facts.

Challenges for JEK2:

- 1. JEK2 NATIONAL PROJECT to be proud on.
- 2. Ambitious schedule for JEK2 to be defined at all levels.
- 3. Completion of the project within **planned budget and schedule.**
- 4. Effective integration of Nuclear Safety Culture, knowledge and experiences from Krško UNIT 1.

Today's circumstances and facts regarding Nuclear in SLOVENIA.





Hvala! Welcome in Krško!

Westinghouse

A: Vrbina 12, 8270 Krško, Slovenija

M: nek@nek.si

